

Dr. Douglas De Salles
107 San Antonio Way
Sacramento, CA 95819

3/27/95

Dear Doug,

I have no trouble with a shot at about Z190. You'll find that in what I wrote in 1965, Whitewash. And I was the first to say that Willis had taken his fifth by Z202. If you look at the marginal material, which I showed on Calif. TV ~~that~~ in December, 1966, when I was promoting VW II. I had 8x10 glassys made from the frames the Commission published. They hold the marginal material Surprised if Hal Does not remember that. He arranged for those appearances and was with me. I did it again, by the way. ~~that~~ the following February. *Or March.*

I'm expecting a friend in 10 days. I'll ask him to make the search and copies for that Ruby record.

And the origin^u of that Alvarez jiggle theory was Whitewash, Zapruder's testimony, when some of his students having read the book took it up with him.

I believed that Garrison was ^{lost} bested by the feds and, as he said, was fighting fire with fire, too long. If you are here ^{again} you will be welcome to go over what I had to do to prevent worse than he did with Shaw when his staff failed and asked my help. You cannot recognize it in his book about the one trail he never took, of the assassins. And if you ^{are} want you be welcome to tape it. Incredible story that like all the rest he just made up or cribbed and enlarged on. Great tragedy in many ays!

Does di Eugenio know anything about the crime itself or is it all what he wanted to believe, uncontaminated by fact?

I fear very much that Manitk and Gary, of whom I have a high opinion, are going to hurt their professional reputations. Gary fell almost silent when I ~~asked~~ asked him and Manitk to explain why anyone would run the great risk of faking any autopsy film only to wind up with what defeats the purpose of the faking, with film that destroys the official story? Which the pictures and X-rays both do. Does it make sense? Occam should be put onto what Manitk discovered, as I suggested to him.

What is missing in all the uses of "rear" skull injury is a meaningful definition of what the ^{rear} rear is. It was not the back of the head. it was well toward the back. Period. If they'd read Post Mortem with care they'd have understood that the official evidence itself establishes that there was a shot to the head from the front. *Different ammo.*

Both will ruin their reputations by their associations about which I warned Gary.

The Occam advise is also to those who associate with the Liftons of the world.

I think it is Ed Dolan. See enclosed. No obit seen since then. I never spoke to him Jerry Polakov, now in the Cincinnati area, did.

I was never able to follow up on O in NO, al as. I wrote it except for what I added before going there.

I hope Gary and Manitk are silent in public until they read NEVER AGAIN! Best to all,

Heard